R.R. No. 2006/06
Presented by Shri Rupesh Sonwani
dated 12.4.06

(1)

CR 160/

(05)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

S.A.NO. 417 OF 2006 **Single Bench**

(MEMORANDM OF SECOND APPEAL U/S 100 OF C.P.C.)

APPELLANT: Plaintiffs

1. Purnachand, aged about 44 years, s/o Maheshwar Patel,

2. Sadanand Patel, aged about 73 years, s/o Rachki Patel

Both r/o Village: Netnagar, Tehsil & District: Raigarh (C.G.)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS: DEFENDANTS

1. Smt. Nanhubai w/o Tiknu Patel, aged about 60 years, r/o Village: Netnagar, Tehsil & District: Raigarh (C.G.)

2. Ku. Khemo D/o Pusibai, aged about 62 years.

3. Neelmani Patel s/o Narayan, aged about 54 years.

4. Mu. Sukanti w/o Ganesh, aged about 48 years.

5. Mu. Jema w/o Heeralal, aged about 44 years,

6. Mu. Yosada w/o Usatram, aged about 42 years.

7. Mu. Bhojmati w/o Ghanshyam, aged about 40 years.

8. Tilakram s/o Narayan Patel, aged about 38 years.

9. Jaikumar Patel s/o Narayan, aged about 47 years,

2 to 9 r/o Village: Patrapali, Tehsil & District: Raigarh (C.G.)

CERTIFIED COPY
12.4.06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

10. Sevakram Patel s/o Kulmani Patel, aged about 46 years,

11. Lochan Prasad s/o Kulmani, aged about 39 years,

10 to 11 r/o Village Kasmanda, Tehsil & District: Raigarh (C.G.)

12. Smt. Bilasinee w/o Surendra Patel, aged about 35 years, r/o Madhubanpara, Raigarh, District: Raigarh (C.G.)

13. Sathyabhama s/o Kulmani Patel, aged about 34 years, r/o Bilaigarh, Tehsil: Baramkela, District: Raigarh (C.G.)

14. Kaushailya w/o Ghanshyam, aged about 32 years, Village: Pusour, P.O. Poshar, District: Raigarh (C.G.)

15. Smt. Nelotma D/o Maheshwar Patel, aged about 54 years,

16. Smt. Shyamabai d/o Maheshwar Patel, aged about 42 years,

15 to 16 r/o Village: Netsagar, District: Raigarh (C.G.)

17. State of Chhattisgarh, Through; Collector Raigarh (C.G.)

MEMORANDUM OF SECOND APPEAL UNDER SECTION 100 OF C.P.C.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(31)

मामला क्रमांक ~~SA.LPR..1006/'06~~ **सन् 200**

SA 417/06

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

[redacted]नांक तथा आदेश [redacted]मांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7.9.2006 None for the appellants. None for the respondents. Even in the second call none appeared for the appellants. The matter is listed on default as per office note dated 12/4/2006. Since none appears for the appellants, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

Incln

P.T.O.

2/11/06 2/11/06